

1
2
3
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

6 * * *

7 DANIELA RIVAS,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 CAESARS ENTERPRISE SERVICES, LLC,
11 et al.,

12 Defendants.
13

Case No. 2:19-cv-01637-KJD-DJA

ORDER

14 This case was referred to the undersigned to conduct a settlement conference, which
15 settlement conference is set for June 13, 2022. (ECF No. 83). Defendant Desert Palace moves to
16 vacate the settlement conference, arguing that the parties have already tried to settle, were
17 unsuccessful, and have positions too conflicting to resolve. (ECF No. 85). Plaintiff Daniela
18 Rivas argues in response that she wishes to move forward with settlement, believing that it will be
19 productive, and argues that Defendant's statement that the parties' positions are "too divergent" is
20 inaccurate. (ECF No. 86). Defendant replies that nothing has changed since the parties most
21 recent settlement efforts and keeping the conference date will waste time. (ECF No. 87).
22 Because the parties' interpretations of their ability to settle are not reconcilable through their
23 briefs, the Court denies the motion to vacate. At the upcoming settlement conference, the parties
24 should be prepared to discuss why they believe settlement is possible or not.
25
26
27
28

1 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the motion to vacate (ECF No. 85) is **denied**. The
2 settlement conference scheduled for June 13, 2022 will go forward as provided in the Court's
3 order scheduling the settlement conference. (ECF No. 84).

4
5
6 DATED: May 18, 2022



DANIEL J. ALBREGTS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE